

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

WADE M. RHYNE (CABN 216799)
Assistant United States Attorney

1301 Clay Street, Suite 340-S
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: (510) 637-3693
FAX: (510) 637-3724

Attorneys for the United States

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 07-0808 CW
) [Filed: December 20, 2007]

Plaintiff,

v.

FILIBERTO MOLINA-SANTANA
(a/k/a Filiberto Molina, a/k/a Omar Pineda,
a/k/a Filiberto Pineda,
a/k/a Juan Carlos Pineda),

Defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR-06-0342 DLJ
) [Filed: March 24, 2006]

Plaintiff,

v.

FILIBERTO MOLINA-SANTANA
(a/k/a Filiberto Molina, a/k/a Omar Pineda,
a/k/a Filiberto Pineda,
a/k/a Juan Carlos Pineda),

Defendant.

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

IN A CRIMINAL ACTION

(Oakland Venue)

The United States of America, pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 8-1, hereby notifies the Court that the two above-captioned criminal cases are related. The more recent charge filed on
NOTICE OF RELATED CASE
IN A CRIMINAL ACTION
CR-07-0808 CW and CR-06-0342 DLJ

1 March 24, 2006 (see Attachment) involves, in part, the same general crime as the original offense
2 set out in Counts One and Two of the Form 12 Supervised Release violation in case CR 06-0342
3 DLJ, now pending before the Honorable D. Lowell Jensen. The cases are related within the
4 meaning of Local Rules 8-1(b)(1) and (b)(2) because the cases involve the same defendant, and it
5 appears likely that a substantial duplication of labor would occur if the cases were heard by
6 different Judges.

7 In particular, the more recent Indictment alleges that the defendant is a deported alien
8 found in the United States in violation of 8 U.S.C. §§ 1326(a) and (b). Similarly, the previous
9 charge against the defendant alleged two counts of improper entry by an alien in violation of 8
10 U.S.C. § 1325(a), wherein Judge Jensen sentenced the defendant as follows: (1) as to Count One,
11 that the defendant be imprisoned for 6 months to run concurrently with the sentence in Count
12 Two with one year of supervised release; (2) as to Count Two, that the defendant be imprisoned
13 for 15 months with no term of supervised release.

14 Because the cases involve the same defendant and same general crimes, if the defendant
15 is found guilty, the sentencing in both cases would be more efficient and better preserve judicial
16 resources by relating the matters.

17 Per the requirement of Local Criminal Rule 8-1(c)(4), government counsel states that
18 assignment of these cases to a single judge is likely to conserve judicial resources and promote an
19 efficient determination of each action.

20
21 Date: January 24, 2008.

Respectfully Submitted,

22 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
23 United States Attorney

24 /s/
25 WADE M. RHYNE
26 Assistant United States Attorney
27
28